Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) Monthly Report

Facility Name: Siloam Springs	NPDES Permit No.: AR0020273	_ Monitoring Period (Month/Year): 03 / 2013
. 🗆 No S	Sanitary Sewer Overflows This	Monitoring Period

		Summary Report Code Descri	ptions		
Cause(s) of SSO		SSO Impact	Action(s) Taken	Ultimate Discharge Location	
CO-Construction	D -Debris	NEAH-No Evidence Adverse Health/ Environmental. Impact		CR-Creek/Stream/River (specify)	
E-Equipment Failure	G-Grease	OEHC-Observed or Evidence of Human Contact	EC-Environmental Cleanup	DI -Ditch	
HC-Hydro Clean	LF-Line Failure	EFK-Evidence of Fish Kill	HC-Hydro Cleaned	DR-Drop Inlet	
R-Rainfall	RG-Roots / Grease		HR-Hand Rodded	GR-Ground Surface	
RO-Roots	V-Vandalism		EN-Referred to Engineering	PA-Paved Area	
	11		PN-Public Notification	CB-Contained in Building	

Location	Manhole #	Start Date of SSO	End Date of SSO	Estimated Volume (in gallons)	Cause of SSO	Environmental Impact	Action (s) Taken to Address SSO	Discharge Location
Basin 7	96	03/23/2013	03/23/2013	10,000	D	NEAH	НС	DI
Basin 2	21	03/25/2013	03/25/2013	1,000	R	NEAH	HC EC	GR
		-						
						—— M		

Signature of Cognizant or Ranking Official

Date

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."



February 21, 2013 Control No. 164853-1 Page 28 of 32

Appendix B: Test 1000.0

SUMMARY REPORTING FORMS CHRONIC BIOMONITORING Pimephales promelas (Fathead Minnow) SURVIVAL AND GROWTH

1.	Dunnett's Test:				
,	Is the mean survival significantly different (p=0.05) than corresponding to (lethality):	the control	survival for the % e	ffluent	
	a.) LOW FLOW OR CRITICAL DILUTIONb.) 1/2 LOW FLOW DILUTION	(100 %) (NA)	YES YES	<u> </u>	_NO
2.	Dunnett's Test:				-' -
	Is the mean dry weight (growth) significantly different (p dry weight (growth) for the % effluent corresponding to	=0.05) thar (significant	n the control's non-lethal effects):		
٠	a.) LOW FLOW OR CRITICAL DILUTION b.) 1/2 LOW FLOW DILUTION	(100 %) (NA)	YES YES	<u>x</u>	_NO
3.	If you answered NO to 1.a) enter [0] otherwise enter [1]:	0	(TLP6C)		:.*
4.	If you answered NO to 2.a) enter [0] otherwise enter [1]:	0	(TGP6C)		
5.	NOEC Pimephales Lethality:	100 %	(TOP6C)		
6.	LOEC Pimephales Lethality:	100 %	(TXP6C)		
7.	NOEC Pimephales Sublethality:	100 %	(TPP6C)		
8.	LOEC Pimephales Sublethality:	100 %	(TYP6C)		•
9.	Coefficient of variation for Pimephales growth:	12	(TQP6C)		



February 21, 2013 Control No. 164853-1 Page 31 of 32

Appendix B: Test 1002.0

SUMMARY REPORTING FORMS CHRONIC BIOMONITORING Ceriodaphnia dubia SURVIVAL AND REPRODUCTION

1.	Fisher's Exact Test:				·			
	Is the mean survival significantly different (p=0.05) than the control survival for the % effluent corresponding to (lethality):							
	a.) LOW FLOW OR CRITICAL DILUTIONb.) 1/2 LOW FLOW DILUTION	(100 %) (NA)		ES	X	NC NC		
2.	Steel's Many-One Rank Test:			• .				
	Is the mean number of young produced per female sign number of young per female for the % effluent correspond	ificantly diff anding to (s	erent (p=0.0 ignificant no	05) than the on-lethal effe	contro	ol's		
	a.) LOW FLOW OR CRITICAL DILUTIONb.) 1/2 LOW FLOW DILUTION	(100 %) (NA)		ES	X	NC NC		
3.	If you answered NO to 1.a) enter [0] otherwise enter [1]:	0	(TLP3B)					
4.	If you answered NO to 2.a) enter [0] otherwise enter [1]:	0	(TGP3B)					
5.	NOEC Ceriodaphnia Lethality:	100 %	(TOP3B)					
6.	LOEC Ceriodaphnia Lethality:	100 %	(TXP3B)					
7.	NOEC Ceriodaphnia Sublethality:	100 %	(TPP3B)		ě			
8.	LOEC Ceriodaphnia Sublethality:	100 %	(TYP3B)					
g	Coefficient of variation for Ceriodaphnia Reproduction:	21.4	(TQP3B)					



February 21, 2013 Control No. 164853-1 Page 2 of 32

City of Siloam Springs ATTN: Mr. Tom Myers Post Office Box 80 Siloam Springs, AR 72761

Re: Chronic 7-Day Renewal utilizing Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow) and Ceriodaphnia dubia

Plant Effluent - Siloam Springs, AR

NPDES Permit No. AR0020273 AFIN# 04-00106

Dear Mr. Tom Myers:

This report is the analytical results and supporting information for the samples submitted to American Interplex Corporation (AIC). The following results are applicable only to the sample identified by the control number referenced above. Accurate assessment of the data requires access to the entire document. Each section of the report has been reviewed and approved by the laboratory director or qualified designee.

Testing procedures and Quality Assurance were in accordance with "Short Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms" EPA-821-R-02-013, Fourth Edition, October 2002. Test results are summarized below:

Method 1000.0 Chronic Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow) Survival and Growth Test: The No Observable Effects Concentration (NOEC) for survival occurred at 100 % effluent, which is equal to the critical dilution of 100 %. The NOEC for growth occurred at 100 % effluent, which is equal to the critical dilution of 100 %. The sample, therefore, PASSED both lethal and sub-lethal effects for the Fathead minnow test.

Method 1002.0 Chronic Ceriodaphnia dubia Survival and Reproduction Test: The No Observable Effects Concentration (NOEC) for survival occurred at 100 % effluent, which is equal to the critical dilution of 100 %. The NOEC for reproduction occurred at 100 % effluent, which is equal to the critical dilution of 100 %. The sample, therefore, PASSED both lethal and sub-lethal effects for the Ceriodaphnia dubia test.

AMERICAN INTERPLEX CORPORATION

ern Overbev **Laboratory Director**

PDF cc: City of Siloam Springs ATTN: Mr. Tom Myers

tmyers@siloamsprings.com

City of Siloam Springs ATTN: Mr. Jack Harrison jharrison@siloamsprings.com



February 21, 2013

Test Results of
First Quarter
Chronic 7-Day Renewal
Biomonitoring Testing
for
Plant Effluent
Siloam Springs, AR

Control No. 164853-1

Prepared for:

Mr. Tom Myers City of Siloam Springs Post Office Box 80 Siloam Springs, AR 72761

Prepared by:

AMÉRICAN INTERPLEX CORPORATION 8600 Kanis Road Little Rock, AR 72204-2322



P.O. Box 80 Siloam Springs, AR 72761 CERTIFIED MAIL



6075 5570 0007 FTTS 9734

Hasler

FIRST-CLASS MAIL

04/23/2013 US POSTAGE

\$07.179



ZIP 72761 011D11628725

AR Dept Environmental Quality NPDES Enforcement Section 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317